

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Power Sources 174 (2007) 741-744

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Short communication

Development of all-solid lithium-ion battery using Li-ion conducting glass-ceramics

Yasushi Inda^{a,b,*}, Takashi Katoh^a, Mamoru Baba^b

^a Research and Development Department, Ohara-inc, 1-15-30 Oyama, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229-1186, Japan
^b Graduate School of Engineering, Iwate University, 4-3-5 Ueda, Morioka, Iwate 020-8551, Japan

Available online 3 July 2007

Abstract

We have developed a high performance lithium-ion conducting glass-ceramics. This glass-ceramics has the crystalline form of $Li_{1+x+y}Al_xTi_{2-x}Si_yP_{3-y}O_{12}$ with a NASICON-type structure, and it exhibits a high lithium-ion conductivity of 10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ or above at room temperature. Moreover, since this material is stable in the open atmosphere and even to exposure to moist air, it is expected to be applied for various uses. One of applications of this material is as a solid electrolyte for a lithium-ion battery. Batteries were developed by combining a LiCoO₂ positive electrode, a Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ negative electrode, and a composite electrolyte. The battery using the composite electrolyte with a higher conductivity exhibited a good charge–discharge characteristic. © 2007 Published by Elsevier B.V.

·

Keywords: Lithium-ion battery ;Glass-ceramics; Solid electrolyte; Ionic conductivity; NASICON

1. Introduction

The use of the all-solid lithium-ion battery, which utilizes an inorganic solid electrolyte, is highly safe, because it does not contain any organic electrolyte solution that presents an explosion hazard. Hence, several studies in this field have been performed at various research laboratories. However, the ionic conductivity of a solid electrolyte is lower than that of a liquid one, and the former shows a larger interfacial resistance with the other solid components like the electrode, etc. Hence, solid electrolytes cannot be easily put into practical use.

We developed a glass-ceramics with the crystalline form of $Li_{1+x+y}Al_xTi_{2-x}Si_yP_{3-y}O_{12}$ with a NASICON-type structure by heat-treated glass with a specific composition [1,2].

This glass-ceramics has a high lithium-ion conductivity that is equal to or more than 10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ at room temperature, and its lithium-ion transference number is approximately 1.

Furthermore, because it is very stable in the atmosphere and even to exposure to moist air, it is possible to use this material to develop a solid electrolyte for a lithium-ion secondary battery.

However, when using an inorganic solid electrolyte in a lithium-ion secondary battery, it is difficult to create

0378-7753/\$ – see front matter © 2007 Published by Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.06.234

a good contact interface between the electrode and electrolyte.

Therefore, a device that can lower the phase boundary resistance between the electrode and electrolyte is necessary.

We designed and developed composite electrolytes by mixing the glass-ceramic powder with an organic polymer. A good ionic conduction and flexibility was obtained in these electrolytes by controlling the particle size and content ratio of the glass-ceramics.

Lithium-ion batteries were developed by combining a composite electrolyte, an $LiCoO_2$ positive electrode, and an $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ negative electrode. The charge–discharge characteristics of these all-solid lithium-ion rechargeable batteries were measured. The results confirmed that it can function effectively as a lithium-ion battery.

2. Experimental

2.1. Fabrication of the glass-ceramics

Lithium carbonate (Li₂CO₃), aluminium metaphosphate (Al(PO₃)₃), silica (SiO₂), orthophosphoric acid (H₃PO₄), and titanium oxide (TiO₂) were mixed together as raw materials for preparing glass. The mixture of raw materials was put in a Pt pot and placed in an electric furnace at 1480 °C. After

^{*} Corresponding author at: Research and Development Department, Oharainc, 1-15-30 Oyama, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229-1186, Japan.

the raw materials melted, the mixture was stirred using a Pt blade.

Glass was produced by casting the molten glass into flowing water and quenching.

The glass was heated again in the electric furnace and the glass was crystallized (glass-ceramics).

The glass-ceramics was analyzed using a XRD equipment (Phillips X'Pert MPD PW3050), and the crystalline phase was identified.

A 5 mm × 5 mm piece with a thickness of 0.5 mm was cut from the glass-ceramics and polished on both sides. An ionblocking electrode was installed on both sides using an Au sputtering target. A lead line was mounted on the Au-sputtered electrode using an Au paste. The ionic conductivity was obtained from resistance measured by ac complex impedance analysis using an impedance analyzer (Solartron, SI1260) in a temperature range from -80 °C to 25 °C and in a frequency range from 1 Hz to 10 MHz.

2.2. Fabrication of the composite electrolyte

The glass-ceramics was milled using a ball mill to produce a powder with an average particle diameter of $1.5 \,\mu m$ (powder A). The glass-ceramics was also milled using a jet mill to produce a powder with an average particle diameter of $5 \,\mu m$ (powder B). The particle size distribution for each powder is shown in Fig. 1. The particle size distribution was measured using an LS100Q (Coulter).

A copolymerized material consisting of polyethylene oxide (PEO) and polypropylene oxide (PPO) was used as an organic binder. The polymer solution was prepared by dissolving the binder and LITFSI (LiN(CF_3SO_2)₂) in ethanol.



Fig. 1. Particle size distributions of milled glass-ceramic powders.



Fig. 2. SEM photographs of the surfaces of the composite electrolytes A and B.

The glass-ceramic powder A or B was added into this polymer solution and mixed using a mixer for 24 h to prepare a composite electrolyte slurry.

The content ratio of the glass-ceramic powder and binder was glass-ceramics:binder = 75:25 (wt%). The composite electrolyte slurry was applied onto the PET film using a doctor-blade, and dried at 80 °C. The composite electrolytes A and B, which were fabricated using the powders with average particle diameters of 1.5 and 5 μ m, respectively, were flexible and both had a thickness of 25 μ m.

The SEM photographs of both composite electrolytes are shown in Fig. 2.

2.3. Fabrication of the battery

The slurry of the positive electrode was prepared by mixing lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO₂), acetylene black (AB), the same copolymer that was used for the composite electrolytes, and ethanol solvents. The slurry was coated on Al foil to prepare the positive electrode. The composition ratio was LiCoO₂:AB:binder = 80:5:15 (wt%). After press processing, the positive electrode was dried in a vacuum (80 °C, 20 h) to complete fabrication.



Fig. 3. XRD patterns of the glass and glass-ceramics.

The slurry of the negative electrode was prepared using the same components that were used for the positive electrode with the exception of LiCoO₂, which was replaced by lithium titanate (Li₄Ti₅O₁₂). The slurry was coated on Cu foil to prepare the negative electrode. The composition ratio was Li₄Ti₅O₁₂:AB:binder = 80:5:15 (wt%). After press processing, the fabrication of the negative electrode sheet was completed by drying in a vacuum (80 °C, 20 h).

A thin layer of the slurry of the polymer solution (LiTFSI mixed with PEO-PPO, dissolved in EtOH) was applied to just one side of the surface of composite electrolyte A and then dried in a vacuum ($80 \,^{\circ}$ C, $20 \,$ h).

The positive electrode sheet, composite electrolyte, and negative electrode sheet were stacked in that order. The applied polymer layer was in contact with negative electrode. The stacked cell was enclosed within a coin cell to produce an allsolid lithium-ion secondary battery.

Hereafter, the batteries with composite electrolytes A and B are referred to as batteries A and B, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

The XRD diffraction patterns of the glass-ceramics before and after crystallization are shown in Fig. 3. It was confirmed that the main crystal layer of the sample after crystallization by heat-



Fig. 4. Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivity of the glass-ceramics.



Fig. 5. Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the composite electrolytes.



Fig. 6. SEM photograph of the cross-section of the lithium-ion secondary battery A.

treatment was the $LiTi_2P_3O_{12}$ solid solution with a NASICONtype structure.

The temperature dependence of the ionic conductivity of the crystallized glass-ceramics is shown in Fig. 4. The ionic conductivity at around room temperature $(25 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ is $1.4 \times 10^{-3} \,\text{S cm}^{-1}$.

The temperature dependence of the ionic conductivities of the composite electrolytes A and B containing glass-ceramic powders are shown in Fig. 5. The inclinations of both the graphs are similar, but electrolyte B, which was produced using the powder with the larger average particle diameter, shows a higher con-



Fig. 7. SEM photograph of the cross-section of the lithium-ion secondary battery B.



Fig. 8. Charge–discharge curves for battery A (LiCoO_2/composite electrolyte/Li_4Ti_5O_1_2) at 60 $^\circ\text{C}.$



Fig. 9. Charge–discharge curves for battery B (LiCoO_2/composite electrolyte/Li₄Ti₅O₁₂) at 60 °C.

ductivity. This suggests that the system of the glass-ceramics and polymer has only a few phase boundaries and that the phase boundary resistance was restrained in electrolyte B, which contains particles with a comparatively larger size.

SEM photographs of the cross-sections of batteries are shown in Figs. 6 and 7.

The thickness of the positive electrode of battery is $20 \,\mu\text{m}$, that of the composite electrolyte is $25 \,\mu\text{m}$, and that of the negative electrode is $15 \,\mu\text{m}$.

The batteries were kept for 24 h at 60 °C, following which charge–discharge measurements were performed at a constant current at this temperature. The measuring conditions were a constant current with a charging cut-off voltage of 2.7 V, a discharge cut-off voltage of 1.4 V, and a charge–discharge rate of 1/12 C.

The charge–discharge characteristics are shown in Figs. 8 and 9. The cycle performance is shown in Fig. 10.

The charge–discharge curves of batteries B and the A are almost identical. Compared in detail, however, both the capacity and the cycle characteristic of battery B were superior to



Fig. 10. Cycle performance of battery (LiCoO₂/composite electrolyte/Li₄Ti₅O₁₂) at 60 °C.

those of battery A. The rate of capacity maintenance in battery B was about 90% after 10 cycles, while that of battery A was about 70%. This is because the ionic conductivity of electrolyte A is lower than that of electrolyte B. Due to the high ionic resistance of the electrolyte, ionic movement between the electrodes might be restricted. As a result, it is considered that the cycle characteristic of the charge–discharge performance was affected.

At any rate, a decrease in capacity observed with the procession of charge–discharge cycles should be suppressed. Therefore, it will be necessary to improve the best composition of both the positive and negative electrodes in the future.

4. Conclusion

We developed the composite electrolytes consisting of a lithium-ion conducting glass-ceramics and a polymer electrolyte. The conductivity of the composite electrolytes was greatly influenced by the particle size of the glass-ceramics. The composite electrolyte containing the glass-ceramics with a larger particle size showed a higher conductivity.

Batteries were developed by combining a $LiCoO_2$ positive electrode, a $Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ negative electrode, and a composite electrolyte. The battery using the composite electrolyte with a higher conductivity exhibited a good charge–discharge characteristic.

References

- [1] J. Fu, Solid State Ionics 96 (1997) 195-200.
- [2] J. Fu, J. Mater. Sci. 33 (1998) 1549-1553.